

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Brian Von Herzen, Ph.D. Group : 2674
David C. Keenan Conf. No. : 9695
Serial No. : 09/351,420 Examiner : Nguyen, K.
Filing Date : July 9, 1999
Title : Illuminated Wearable Ornament

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Attn. Examiner Nguyen
703-746-7301

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.6: I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. 703-746-7301, on June 3, 2003 before midnight Eastern time.

by: Edwin A. Suominen
(Name)

(Signature)

INTERVIEW REQUEST

Dear Sir:

Applicant thanks the Examiner for granting on oral request for an after-final interview, presently scheduled for June 4, 2003 at 2:00 PM Eastern Time. The following is a list of issues for discussion in the interview, as requested by the Examiner.

First, applicant would like to explain the terminology "regular two-dimensional array" to the Examiner, specifically why U.S. Patent 5,575,554 to Guritz does not teach or suggest any sort of regular two-dimensional array.

Second, applicant would like to understand why the Examiner has found the arguments in the Response to Office Action filed October 3, 2002 "not persuasive." Unfortunately, the final Office Action only discusses arguments regarding applicant's claimed "regular two-dimensional array" and does not provide any guidance about why applicant's other arguments (e.g., on pp. 5-8 of the Response) were not found persuasive.

The undersigned looks forward to a discussion of these issues with the Examiner. If the Examiner would consider it appropriate to have a supervisor present during the interview, the undersigned would welcome that arrangement.

Respectfully submitted,
RAPID PROTOTYPES, INC.
by its representative



Dated: June 3, 2003

Edwin A. Suominen
Reg. No. 43,174

LOUIS J. HOFFMAN, P.C.
14614 North Kierland Boulevard
Suite 300
Scottsdale, Arizona 85254
(480) 948-3295